



**Staff : DHANYA V V**

**Subject : MAT102 - VECTOR CALCULUS, DIFFERENTIAL EQUATIONS AND TRANSFORMS**

**Session Name: End Evaluation**

**Batch : BTech KTU ME 2K19**

**Session Id : TE-SN365-Aug-2020**

**Survey Id : TE26-Jan-2020**

**Users Completed : 44**

**Overall Score : 88.86**

Section	Question	Option	Mark	Users Opted	Opted %	Total Mark
1	1. Subject Knowledge	Excellent	17.75	24	54.55%	<b>426</b>
		Good	14.13	18	40.91%	<b>254.34</b>
		Fair	10.28	2	4.55%	<b>20.56</b>
		Poor	3.53	0	0%	<b>0</b>
		Can't Judge	8.00	0	0%	<b>0</b>
	2. Clarity and Understanding of teachers Explanations	Excellent	14.50	22	50%	<b>319</b>
		Good	11.81	19	43.18%	<b>224.39</b>
		Fair	8.11	3	6.82%	<b>24.33</b>
		Poor	2.01	0	0%	<b>0</b>
		Can't Judge	4.09	0	0%	<b>0</b>
	3. Teachers Willingness to Help	Excellent	7.50	27	61.36%	<b>202.5</b>
		Good	5.71	14	31.82%	<b>79.94</b>
		Fair	4.04	3	6.82%	<b>12.12</b>
		Poor	1.13	0	0%	<b>0</b>
		Can't Judge	2.21	0	0%	<b>0</b>
	4. Approximate % of classes NOT engaged by the teachers	Less than 10	5.85	36	81.82%	<b>210.6</b>
		10 to 25	3.66	2	4.55%	<b>7.32</b>
		More than 25	1.91	1	2.27%	<b>1.91</b>
		Can't Judge	1.64	5	11.36%	<b>8.2</b>
	5. Whether the teachers dictates notes only	No	4.00	39	88.64%	<b>156</b>
Yes		0.67	3	6.82%	<b>2.01</b>	
Can't Judge		1.65	2	4.55%	<b>3.3</b>	
2	1. Teachers ability to organize lecture	Excellent	9.25	28	63.64%	<b>259</b>
		Satisfactory	6.34	15	34.09%	<b>95.1</b>
		Inadequate	2.50	1	2.27%	<b>2.5</b>
		Can't Judge	3.16	0	0%	<b>0</b>
	2. Speed of presentation	Just Right	4.75	34	77.27%	<b>161.5</b>
		Too Fast	1.34	7	15.91%	<b>9.38</b>
		Can't Judge	1.40	3	6.82%	<b>4.2</b>
	3. Does the teacher encourage questioning	Yes	6.88	31	70.45%	<b>213.28</b>
		Some Times	3.89	12	27.27%	<b>46.68</b>
		No	0.74	1	2.27%	<b>0.74</b>
		Can't Judge	1.78	0	0%	<b>0</b>
	4. Behavior of the teacher	Pleasant	6.75	33	75%	<b>222.75</b>
		Indifferent	2.78	7	15.91%	<b>19.46</b>
		Unpleasant	1.28	0	0%	<b>0</b>
		Can't Judge	1.94	4	9.09%	<b>7.76</b>
	5. Sincerity of the teacher	Sincere	11.50	39	88.64%	<b>448.5</b>
		Not Sincere	1.33	1	2.27%	<b>1.33</b>
		Unable to Judge	5.06	4	9.09%	<b>20.24</b>
		Blank	4.03	0	0%	<b>0</b>
	6. Overall Teaching effectiveness	Excellent	11.25	25	56.82%	<b>281.25</b>
		Good	9.03	16	36.36%	<b>144.48</b>
		Fair	6.46	3	6.82%	<b>19.38</b>
		Poor	1.56	0	0%	<b>0</b>
		Can't Judge	2.03	0	0%	<b>0</b>

**Head of The Department****Strength and Weakness**

- Strength time management
- nothing
- good subject knowledge
- good teaching but exam oriented teaching

**Special Remarks**

- Good knowledge of subject
- subject knowledge
- loud sound and willingness to understand the student's mind
- good teacher



**Staff : SRI. SARATHCHANDRAN K.G.**

**Subject : EST102 - PROGRAMMING IN C**

**Session Name: End Evaluation**

**Session Id : TE-SN365-Aug-2020**

**Users Completed : 41**

**Batch : BTech KTU ME 2K19**

**Survey Id : TE26-Jan-2020**

**Overall Score : 82.18**

Section	Question	Option	Mark	Users Opted	Opted %	Total Mark
1	1. Subject Knowledge	Excellent	17.75	16	39.02%	<b>284</b>
		Good	14.13	13	31.71%	<b>183.69</b>
		Fair	10.28	10	24.39%	<b>102.8</b>
		Poor	3.53	2	4.88%	<b>7.06</b>
		Can't Judge	8.00	0	0%	<b>0</b>
	2. Clarity and Understanding of teachers Explanations	Excellent	14.50	12	29.27%	<b>174</b>
		Good	11.81	16	39.02%	<b>188.96</b>
		Fair	8.11	6	14.63%	<b>48.66</b>
		Poor	2.01	6	14.63%	<b>12.06</b>
		Can't Judge	4.09	1	2.44%	<b>4.09</b>
	3. Teachers Willingness to Help	Excellent	7.50	20	48.78%	<b>150</b>
		Good	5.71	14	34.15%	<b>79.94</b>
		Fair	4.04	7	17.07%	<b>28.28</b>
		Poor	1.13	0	0%	<b>0</b>
		Can't Judge	2.21	0	0%	<b>0</b>
	4. Approximate % of classes NOT engaged by the teachers	Less than 10	5.85	32	78.05%	<b>187.2</b>
		10 to 25	3.66	5	12.2%	<b>18.3</b>
		More than 25	1.91	0	0%	<b>0</b>
		Can't Judge	1.64	4	9.76%	<b>6.56</b>
	5. Whether the teachers dictates notes only	No	4.00	30	73.17%	<b>120</b>
Yes		0.67	4	9.76%	<b>2.68</b>	
Can't Judge		1.65	7	17.07%	<b>11.55</b>	
2	1. Teachers ability to organize lecture	Excellent	9.25	16	39.02%	<b>148</b>
		Satisfactory	6.34	16	39.02%	<b>101.44</b>
		Inadequate	2.50	7	17.07%	<b>17.5</b>
		Can't Judge	3.16	2	4.88%	<b>6.32</b>
	2. Speed of presentation	Just Right	4.75	29	70.73%	<b>137.75</b>
		Too Fast	1.34	8	19.51%	<b>10.72</b>
		Can't Judge	1.40	4	9.76%	<b>5.6</b>
	3. Does the teacher encourage questioning	Yes	6.88	30	73.17%	<b>206.4</b>
		Some Times	3.89	11	26.83%	<b>42.79</b>
		No	0.74	0	0%	<b>0</b>
		Can't Judge	1.78	0	0%	<b>0</b>
	4. Behavior of the teacher	Pleasant	6.75	38	92.68%	<b>256.5</b>
		Indifferent	2.78	2	4.88%	<b>5.56</b>
		Unpleasant	1.28	0	0%	<b>0</b>
		Can't Judge	1.94	1	2.44%	<b>1.94</b>
	5. Sincerity of the teacher	Sincere	11.50	38	92.68%	<b>437</b>
		Not Sincere	1.33	0	0%	<b>0</b>
		Unable to Judge	5.06	2	4.88%	<b>10.12</b>
		Blank	4.03	1	2.44%	<b>4.03</b>
	6. Overall Teaching effectiveness	Excellent	11.25	17	41.46%	<b>191.25</b>
		Good	9.03	12	29.27%	<b>108.36</b>
		Fair	6.46	10	24.39%	<b>64.6</b>
		Poor	1.56	1	2.44%	<b>1.56</b>
		Can't Judge	2.03	1	2.44%	<b>2.03</b>

## **Head of The Department**

### **Strength and Weakness**

- Positive energy
- low voice
- no idea how to present in the class not able to correlate students
- good teacher

### **Special Remarks**

- Really willing to help. Corrects himself if some error happens.
- subject knowledge
- good teacher



**Staff : DR.ANUP THOMAS**

**Subject : CYT100 - ENGINEERING CHEMISTRY**

**Session Name: End Evaluation**

**Session Id : TE-SN365-Aug-2020**

**Users Completed : 32**

**Batch : BTech KTU ME 2K19**

**Survey Id : TE26-Jan-2020**

**Overall Score : 73.78**

Section	Question	Option	Mark	Users Opted	Opted %	Total Mark
1	1. Subject Knowledge	Excellent	17.75	14	43.75%	<b>248.5</b>
		Good	14.13	9	28.13%	<b>127.17</b>
		Fair	10.28	1	3.13%	<b>10.28</b>
		Poor	3.53	0	0%	<b>0</b>
		Can't Judge	8.00	8	25%	<b>64</b>
	2. Clarity and Understanding of teachers Explanations	Excellent	14.50	14	43.75%	<b>203</b>
		Good	11.81	9	28.13%	<b>106.29</b>
		Fair	8.11	1	3.13%	<b>8.11</b>
		Poor	2.01	0	0%	<b>0</b>
		Can't Judge	4.09	8	25%	<b>32.72</b>
	3. Teachers Willingness to Help	Excellent	7.50	13	40.63%	<b>97.5</b>
		Good	5.71	10	31.25%	<b>57.1</b>
		Fair	4.04	1	3.13%	<b>4.04</b>
		Poor	1.13	0	0%	<b>0</b>
		Can't Judge	2.21	8	25%	<b>17.68</b>
	4. Approximate % of classes NOT engaged by the teachers	Less than 10	5.85	18	56.25%	<b>105.3</b>
		10 to 25	3.66	2	6.25%	<b>7.32</b>
		More than 25	1.91	3	9.38%	<b>5.73</b>
		Can't Judge	1.64	9	28.13%	<b>14.76</b>
	5. Whether the teachers dictates notes only	No	4.00	20	62.5%	<b>80</b>
Yes		0.67	1	3.13%	<b>0.67</b>	
Can't Judge		1.65	11	34.38%	<b>18.15</b>	
2	1. Teachers ability to organize lecture	Excellent	9.25	14	43.75%	<b>129.5</b>
		Satisfactory	6.34	8	25%	<b>50.72</b>
		Inadequate	2.50	0	0%	<b>0</b>
		Can't Judge	3.16	10	31.25%	<b>31.6</b>
	2. Speed of presentation	Just Right	4.75	20	62.5%	<b>95</b>
		Too Fast	1.34	2	6.25%	<b>2.68</b>
		Can't Judge	1.40	10	31.25%	<b>14</b>
	3. Does the teacher encourage questioning	Yes	6.88	14	43.75%	<b>96.32</b>
		Some Times	3.89	7	21.88%	<b>27.23</b>
		No	0.74	1	3.13%	<b>0.74</b>
		Can't Judge	1.78	10	31.25%	<b>17.8</b>
	4. Behavior of the teacher	Pleasant	6.75	18	56.25%	<b>121.5</b>
		Indifferent	2.78	2	6.25%	<b>5.56</b>
		Unpleasant	1.28	0	0%	<b>0</b>
		Can't Judge	1.94	12	37.5%	<b>23.28</b>
	5. Sincerity of the teacher	Sincere	11.50	21	65.63%	<b>241.5</b>
		Not Sincere	1.33	2	6.25%	<b>2.66</b>
		Unable to Judge	5.06	6	18.75%	<b>30.36</b>
		Blank	4.03	3	9.38%	<b>12.09</b>
	6. Overall Teaching effectiveness	Excellent	11.25	14	43.75%	<b>157.5</b>
		Good	9.03	8	25%	<b>72.24</b>
		Fair	6.46	0	0%	<b>0</b>
		Poor	1.56	0	0%	<b>0</b>
		Can't Judge	2.03	10	31.25%	<b>20.3</b>

**Head of The Department****Strength and Weakness**

- nothing
- good teaching

**Special Remarks**

- nothing
- good teaching



Staff : DR.RAJI V.V

Subject : CYT100 - ENGINEERING CHEMISTRY

Session Name: End Evaluation

Session Id : TE-SN365-Aug-2020

Users Completed : 33

Batch : BTech KTU ME 2K19

Survey Id : TE26-Jan-2020

Overall Score : 78.33

Section	Question	Option	Mark	Users Opted	Opted %	Total Mark
1	1. Subject Knowledge	Excellent	17.75	15	45.45%	266.25
		Good	14.13	9	27.27%	127.17
		Fair	10.28	4	12.12%	41.12
		Poor	3.53	1	3.03%	3.53
		Can't Judge	8.00	4	12.12%	32
	2. Clarity and Understanding of teachers Explanations	Excellent	14.50	14	42.42%	203
		Good	11.81	10	30.3%	118.1
		Fair	8.11	5	15.15%	40.55
		Poor	2.01	0	0%	0
		Can't Judge	4.09	4	12.12%	16.36
	3. Teachers Willingness to Help	Excellent	7.50	14	42.42%	105
		Good	5.71	11	33.33%	62.81
		Fair	4.04	4	12.12%	16.16
		Poor	1.13	0	0%	0
		Can't Judge	2.21	4	12.12%	8.84
	4. Approximate % of classes NOT engaged by the teachers	Less than 10	5.85	22	66.67%	128.7
		10 to 25	3.66	3	9.09%	10.98
		More than 25	1.91	1	3.03%	1.91
		Can't Judge	1.64	7	21.21%	11.48
	5. Whether the teachers dictates notes only	No	4.00	22	66.67%	88
Yes		0.67	3	9.09%	2.01	
Can't Judge		1.65	8	24.24%	13.2	
2	1. Teachers ability to organize lecture	Excellent	9.25	14	42.42%	129.5
		Satisfactory	6.34	13	39.39%	82.42
		Inadequate	2.50	0	0%	0
		Can't Judge	3.16	6	18.18%	18.96
	2. Speed of presentation	Just Right	4.75	20	60.61%	95
		Too Fast	1.34	3	9.09%	4.02
		Can't Judge	1.40	10	30.3%	14
	3. Does the teacher encourage questioning	Yes	6.88	17	51.52%	116.96
		Some Times	3.89	10	30.3%	38.9
		No	0.74	1	3.03%	0.74
		Can't Judge	1.78	5	15.15%	8.9
	4. Behavior of the teacher	Pleasant	6.75	23	69.7%	155.25
		Indifferent	2.78	3	9.09%	8.34
		Unpleasant	1.28	0	0%	0
		Can't Judge	1.94	7	21.21%	13.58
	5. Sincerity of the teacher	Sincere	11.50	25	75.76%	287.5
		Not Sincere	1.33	1	3.03%	1.33
		Unable to Judge	5.06	3	9.09%	15.18
		Blank	4.03	4	12.12%	16.12
	6. Overall Teaching effectiveness	Excellent	11.25	15	45.45%	168.75
		Good	9.03	9	27.27%	81.27
		Fair	6.46	3	9.09%	19.38
		Poor	1.56	1	3.03%	1.56
		Can't Judge	2.03	5	15.15%	10.15

**Head of The Department****Strength and Weakness**

- nothing
- good teaching

**Special Remarks**

- nothing
- good teaching





**Staff : DR.SANDHYA K.S**

**Subject : CYT100 - ENGINEERING CHEMISTRY**

**Session Name: End Evaluation**

**Session Id : TE-SN365-Aug-2020**

**Users Completed : 42**

**Batch : BTech KTU ME 2K19**

**Survey Id : TE26-Jan-2020**

**Overall Score : 91.79**

Section	Question	Option	Mark	Users Opted	Opted %	Total Mark
1	1. Subject Knowledge	Excellent	17.75	28	66.67%	<b>497</b>
		Good	14.13	13	30.95%	<b>183.69</b>
		Fair	10.28	1	2.38%	<b>10.28</b>
		Poor	3.53	0	0%	<b>0</b>
		Can't Judge	8.00	0	0%	<b>0</b>
	2. Clarity and Understanding of teachers Explanations	Excellent	14.50	20	47.62%	<b>290</b>
		Good	11.81	20	47.62%	<b>236.2</b>
		Fair	8.11	1	2.38%	<b>8.11</b>
		Poor	2.01	0	0%	<b>0</b>
		Can't Judge	4.09	1	2.38%	<b>4.09</b>
	3. Teachers Willingness to Help	Excellent	7.50	26	61.9%	<b>195</b>
		Good	5.71	15	35.71%	<b>85.65</b>
		Fair	4.04	1	2.38%	<b>4.04</b>
		Poor	1.13	0	0%	<b>0</b>
		Can't Judge	2.21	0	0%	<b>0</b>
	4. Approximate % of classes NOT engaged by the teachers	Less than 10	5.85	33	78.57%	<b>193.05</b>
		10 to 25	3.66	3	7.14%	<b>10.98</b>
		More than 25	1.91	0	0%	<b>0</b>
		Can't Judge	1.64	6	14.29%	<b>9.84</b>
	5. Whether the teachers dictates notes only	No	4.00	40	95.24%	<b>160</b>
Yes		0.67	1	2.38%	<b>0.67</b>	
Can't Judge		1.65	1	2.38%	<b>1.65</b>	
2	1. Teachers ability to organize lecture	Excellent	9.25	25	59.52%	<b>231.25</b>
		Satisfactory	6.34	16	38.1%	<b>101.44</b>
		Inadequate	2.50	1	2.38%	<b>2.5</b>
		Can't Judge	3.16	0	0%	<b>0</b>
	2. Speed of presentation	Just Right	4.75	40	95.24%	<b>190</b>
		Too Fast	1.34	0	0%	<b>0</b>
		Can't Judge	1.40	2	4.76%	<b>2.8</b>
	3. Does the teacher encourage questioning	Yes	6.88	36	85.71%	<b>247.68</b>
		Some Times	3.89	6	14.29%	<b>23.34</b>
		No	0.74	0	0%	<b>0</b>
		Can't Judge	1.78	0	0%	<b>0</b>
	4. Behavior of the teacher	Pleasant	6.75	41	97.62%	<b>276.75</b>
		Indifferent	2.78	1	2.38%	<b>2.78</b>
		Unpleasant	1.28	0	0%	<b>0</b>
		Can't Judge	1.94	0	0%	<b>0</b>
	5. Sincerity of the teacher	Sincere	11.50	40	95.24%	<b>460</b>
		Not Sincere	1.33	1	2.38%	<b>1.33</b>
		Unable to Judge	5.06	0	0%	<b>0</b>
		Blank	4.03	1	2.38%	<b>4.03</b>
	6. Overall Teaching effectiveness	Excellent	11.25	22	52.38%	<b>247.5</b>
		Good	9.03	19	45.24%	<b>171.57</b>
		Fair	6.46	0	0%	<b>0</b>
		Poor	1.56	0	0%	<b>0</b>
		Can't Judge	2.03	1	2.38%	<b>2.03</b>

## **Head of The Department**

### **Strength and Weakness**

- Always cheerful and friendly
- Good teaching
- Good teaching A positive attitude Willingness to help
- good teacher

### **Special Remarks**

- Really willing to help students
- subject knowledge
- Pleasant always
- good teacher



**Staff : DR.SIMI.V.S**

**Subject : CYT100 - ENGINEERING CHEMISTRY**

**Session Name: End Evaluation**

**Session Id : TE-SN365-Aug-2020**

**Users Completed : 34**

**Batch : BTech KTU ME 2K19**

**Survey Id : TE26-Jan-2020**

**Overall Score : 82.17**

Section	Question	Option	Mark	Users Opted	Opted %	Total Mark
1	1. Subject Knowledge	Excellent	17.75	17	50%	<b>301.75</b>
		Good	14.13	11	32.35%	<b>155.43</b>
		Fair	10.28	2	5.88%	<b>20.56</b>
		Poor	3.53	0	0%	<b>0</b>
		Can't Judge	8.00	4	11.76%	<b>32</b>
	2. Clarity and Understanding of teachers Explanations	Excellent	14.50	14	41.18%	<b>203</b>
		Good	11.81	14	41.18%	<b>165.34</b>
		Fair	8.11	2	5.88%	<b>16.22</b>
		Poor	2.01	0	0%	<b>0</b>
		Can't Judge	4.09	4	11.76%	<b>16.36</b>
	3. Teachers Willingness to Help	Excellent	7.50	16	47.06%	<b>120</b>
		Good	5.71	11	32.35%	<b>62.81</b>
		Fair	4.04	3	8.82%	<b>12.12</b>
		Poor	1.13	0	0%	<b>0</b>
		Can't Judge	2.21	4	11.76%	<b>8.84</b>
	4. Approximate % of classes NOT engaged by the teachers	Less than 10	5.85	22	64.71%	<b>128.7</b>
		10 to 25	3.66	2	5.88%	<b>7.32</b>
		More than 25	1.91	1	2.94%	<b>1.91</b>
		Can't Judge	1.64	9	26.47%	<b>14.76</b>
	5. Whether the teachers dictates notes only	No	4.00	29	85.29%	<b>116</b>
Yes		0.67	0	0%	<b>0</b>	
Can't Judge		1.65	5	14.71%	<b>8.25</b>	
2	1. Teachers ability to organize lecture	Excellent	9.25	14	41.18%	<b>129.5</b>
		Satisfactory	6.34	15	44.12%	<b>95.1</b>
		Inadequate	2.50	0	0%	<b>0</b>
		Can't Judge	3.16	5	14.71%	<b>15.8</b>
	2. Speed of presentation	Just Right	4.75	26	76.47%	<b>123.5</b>
		Too Fast	1.34	2	5.88%	<b>2.68</b>
		Can't Judge	1.40	6	17.65%	<b>8.4</b>
	3. Does the teacher encourage questioning	Yes	6.88	22	64.71%	<b>151.36</b>
		Some Times	3.89	7	20.59%	<b>27.23</b>
		No	0.74	0	0%	<b>0</b>
		Can't Judge	1.78	5	14.71%	<b>8.9</b>
	4. Behavior of the teacher	Pleasant	6.75	22	64.71%	<b>148.5</b>
		Indifferent	2.78	6	17.65%	<b>16.68</b>
		Unpleasant	1.28	0	0%	<b>0</b>
		Can't Judge	1.94	6	17.65%	<b>11.64</b>
	5. Sincerity of the teacher	Sincere	11.50	29	85.29%	<b>333.5</b>
		Not Sincere	1.33	0	0%	<b>0</b>
		Unable to Judge	5.06	3	8.82%	<b>15.18</b>
		Blank	4.03	2	5.88%	<b>8.06</b>
	6. Overall Teaching effectiveness	Excellent	11.25	17	50%	<b>191.25</b>
		Good	9.03	9	26.47%	<b>81.27</b>
		Fair	6.46	4	11.76%	<b>25.84</b>
		Poor	1.56	0	0%	<b>0</b>
		Can't Judge	2.03	4	11.76%	<b>8.12</b>

**Head of The Department****Strength and Weakness**

- Mentor
- nothing
- good teacher

**Special Remarks**

- Really care about students and have a great helping mentality
- Good lecturing
- good teacher



**Staff : DR. GEETHA KUMARI B.**

**Subject : EST100 - ENGINEERING MECHANICS**

**Session Name: End Evaluation**

**Session Id : TE-SN365-Aug-2020**

**Users Completed : 42**

**Batch : BTech KTU ME 2K19**

**Survey Id : TE26-Jan-2020**

**Overall Score : 97**

Section	Question	Option	Mark	Users Opted	Opted %	Total Mark
1	1. Subject Knowledge	Excellent	17.75	39	92.86%	<b>692.25</b>
		Good	14.13	3	7.14%	<b>42.39</b>
		Fair	10.28	0	0%	<b>0</b>
		Poor	3.53	0	0%	<b>0</b>
		Can't Judge	8.00	0	0%	<b>0</b>
	2. Clarity and Understanding of teachers Explanations	Excellent	14.50	34	80.95%	<b>493</b>
		Good	11.81	8	19.05%	<b>94.48</b>
		Fair	8.11	0	0%	<b>0</b>
		Poor	2.01	0	0%	<b>0</b>
		Can't Judge	4.09	0	0%	<b>0</b>
	3. Teachers Willingness to Help	Excellent	7.50	33	78.57%	<b>247.5</b>
		Good	5.71	9	21.43%	<b>51.39</b>
		Fair	4.04	0	0%	<b>0</b>
		Poor	1.13	0	0%	<b>0</b>
		Can't Judge	2.21	0	0%	<b>0</b>
	4. Approximate % of classes NOT engaged by the teachers	Less than 10	5.85	35	83.33%	<b>204.75</b>
		10 to 25	3.66	2	4.76%	<b>7.32</b>
		More than 25	1.91	0	0%	<b>0</b>
		Can't Judge	1.64	5	11.9%	<b>8.2</b>
	5. Whether the teachers dictates notes only	No	4.00	41	97.62%	<b>164</b>
Yes		0.67	0	0%	<b>0</b>	
Can't Judge		1.65	1	2.38%	<b>1.65</b>	
2	1. Teachers ability to organize lecture	Excellent	9.25	36	85.71%	<b>333</b>
		Satisfactory	6.34	6	14.29%	<b>38.04</b>
		Inadequate	2.50	0	0%	<b>0</b>
		Can't Judge	3.16	0	0%	<b>0</b>
	2. Speed of presentation	Just Right	4.75	41	97.62%	<b>194.75</b>
		Too Fast	1.34	0	0%	<b>0</b>
		Can't Judge	1.40	1	2.38%	<b>1.4</b>
	3. Does the teacher encourage questioning	Yes	6.88	37	88.1%	<b>254.56</b>
		Some Times	3.89	5	11.9%	<b>19.45</b>
		No	0.74	0	0%	<b>0</b>
		Can't Judge	1.78	0	0%	<b>0</b>
	4. Behavior of the teacher	Pleasant	6.75	42	100%	<b>283.5</b>
		Indifferent	2.78	0	0%	<b>0</b>
		Unpleasant	1.28	0	0%	<b>0</b>
		Can't Judge	1.94	0	0%	<b>0</b>
	5. Sincerity of the teacher	Sincere	11.50	42	100%	<b>483</b>
		Not Sincere	1.33	0	0%	<b>0</b>
		Unable to Judge	5.06	0	0%	<b>0</b>
		Blank	4.03	0	0%	<b>0</b>
	6. Overall Teaching effectiveness	Excellent	11.25	36	85.71%	<b>405</b>
		Good	9.03	6	14.29%	<b>54.18</b>
		Fair	6.46	0	0%	<b>0</b>
		Poor	1.56	0	0%	<b>0</b>
		Can't Judge	2.03	0	0%	<b>0</b>

## **Head of The Department**

### **Strength and Weakness**

- Pleasant classes. Excellent presentation Great knowledge in the subject
- good lecturing
- We are able to understand the topics clearly Always clear our doubts
- good teacher

### **Special Remarks**

- Gives equal importance to each and every topic
- well subject knowledge
- good teacher



**Staff : CHITHRAKUMAR V.K.**

**Subject : EST120 - BASICS OF CIVIL & MECHANICAL ENGINEERING**

**Session Name: End Evaluation**

**Session Id : TE-SN365-Aug-2020**

**Users Completed : 42**

**Batch : BTech KTU ME 2K19**

**Survey Id : TE26-Jan-2020**

**Overall Score : 95.9**

Section	Question	Option	Mark	Users Opted	Opted %	Total Mark
1	1. Subject Knowledge	Excellent	17.75	37	88.1%	<b>656.75</b>
		Good	14.13	5	11.9%	<b>70.65</b>
		Fair	10.28	0	0%	<b>0</b>
		Poor	3.53	0	0%	<b>0</b>
		Can't Judge	8.00	0	0%	<b>0</b>
	2. Clarity and Understanding of teachers Explanations	Excellent	14.50	34	80.95%	<b>493</b>
		Good	11.81	6	14.29%	<b>70.86</b>
		Fair	8.11	2	4.76%	<b>16.22</b>
		Poor	2.01	0	0%	<b>0</b>
		Can't Judge	4.09	0	0%	<b>0</b>
	3. Teachers Willingness to Help	Excellent	7.50	36	85.71%	<b>270</b>
		Good	5.71	4	9.52%	<b>22.84</b>
		Fair	4.04	2	4.76%	<b>8.08</b>
		Poor	1.13	0	0%	<b>0</b>
		Can't Judge	2.21	0	0%	<b>0</b>
	4. Approximate % of classes NOT engaged by the teachers	Less than 10	5.85	36	85.71%	<b>210.6</b>
		10 to 25	3.66	2	4.76%	<b>7.32</b>
		More than 25	1.91	0	0%	<b>0</b>
		Can't Judge	1.64	4	9.52%	<b>6.56</b>
	5. Whether the teachers dictates notes only	No	4.00	40	95.24%	<b>160</b>
Yes		0.67	0	0%	<b>0</b>	
Can't Judge		1.65	2	4.76%	<b>3.3</b>	
2	1. Teachers ability to organize lecture	Excellent	9.25	34	80.95%	<b>314.5</b>
		Satisfactory	6.34	8	19.05%	<b>50.72</b>
		Inadequate	2.50	0	0%	<b>0</b>
		Can't Judge	3.16	0	0%	<b>0</b>
	2. Speed of presentation	Just Right	4.75	38	90.48%	<b>180.5</b>
		Too Fast	1.34	1	2.38%	<b>1.34</b>
		Can't Judge	1.40	3	7.14%	<b>4.2</b>
	3. Does the teacher encourage questioning	Yes	6.88	39	92.86%	<b>268.32</b>
		Some Times	3.89	3	7.14%	<b>11.67</b>
		No	0.74	0	0%	<b>0</b>
		Can't Judge	1.78	0	0%	<b>0</b>
	4. Behavior of the teacher	Pleasant	6.75	39	92.86%	<b>263.25</b>
		Indifferent	2.78	1	2.38%	<b>2.78</b>
		Unpleasant	1.28	1	2.38%	<b>1.28</b>
		Can't Judge	1.94	1	2.38%	<b>1.94</b>
	5. Sincerity of the teacher	Sincere	11.50	42	100%	<b>483</b>
		Not Sincere	1.33	0	0%	<b>0</b>
		Unable to Judge	5.06	0	0%	<b>0</b>
		Blank	4.03	0	0%	<b>0</b>
	6. Overall Teaching effectiveness	Excellent	11.25	31	73.81%	<b>348.75</b>
		Good	9.03	11	26.19%	<b>99.33</b>
		Fair	6.46	0	0%	<b>0</b>
		Poor	1.56	0	0%	<b>0</b>
		Can't Judge	2.03	0	0%	<b>0</b>

## **Head of The Department**

### **Strength and Weakness**

- Promotes questions and gives more information beyond the syllabus
- All the topics are well explained and best class i have attended in this semester
- organization
- good teacher

### **Special Remarks**

- Genius in the subject
- good subject knowledge
- good teacher





**Staff : SMT. BINDHU BERNARD**

**Subject : EST120 - BASICS OF CIVIL & MECHANICAL ENGINEERING**

**Session Name: End Evaluation**

**Session Id : TE-SN365-Aug-2020**

**Users Completed : 43**

**Batch : BTech KTU ME 2K19**

**Survey Id : TE26-Jan-2020**

**Overall Score : 86.82**

Section	Question	Option	Mark	Users Opted	Opted %	Total Mark
1	1. Subject Knowledge	Excellent	17.75	21	48.84%	<b>372.75</b>
		Good	14.13	19	44.19%	<b>268.47</b>
		Fair	10.28	3	6.98%	<b>30.84</b>
		Poor	3.53	0	0%	<b>0</b>
		Can't Judge	8.00	0	0%	<b>0</b>
	2. Clarity and Understanding of teachers Explanations	Excellent	14.50	17	39.53%	<b>246.5</b>
		Good	11.81	20	46.51%	<b>236.2</b>
		Fair	8.11	4	9.3%	<b>32.44</b>
		Poor	2.01	1	2.33%	<b>2.01</b>
		Can't Judge	4.09	1	2.33%	<b>4.09</b>
	3. Teachers Willingness to Help	Excellent	7.50	23	53.49%	<b>172.5</b>
		Good	5.71	16	37.21%	<b>91.36</b>
		Fair	4.04	2	4.65%	<b>8.08</b>
		Poor	1.13	2	4.65%	<b>2.26</b>
		Can't Judge	2.21	0	0%	<b>0</b>
	4. Approximate % of classes NOT engaged by the teachers	Less than 10	5.85	33	76.74%	<b>193.05</b>
		10 to 25	3.66	4	9.3%	<b>14.64</b>
		More than 25	1.91	0	0%	<b>0</b>
		Can't Judge	1.64	6	13.95%	<b>9.84</b>
	5. Whether the teachers dictates notes only	No	4.00	30	69.77%	<b>120</b>
Yes		0.67	7	16.28%	<b>4.69</b>	
Can't Judge		1.65	6	13.95%	<b>9.9</b>	
2	1. Teachers ability to organize lecture	Excellent	9.25	21	48.84%	<b>194.25</b>
		Satisfactory	6.34	19	44.19%	<b>120.46</b>
		Inadequate	2.50	2	4.65%	<b>5</b>
		Can't Judge	3.16	1	2.33%	<b>3.16</b>
	2. Speed of presentation	Just Right	4.75	37	86.05%	<b>175.75</b>
		Too Fast	1.34	4	9.3%	<b>5.36</b>
		Can't Judge	1.40	2	4.65%	<b>2.8</b>
	3. Does the teacher encourage questioning	Yes	6.88	31	72.09%	<b>213.28</b>
		Some Times	3.89	10	23.26%	<b>38.9</b>
		No	0.74	1	2.33%	<b>0.74</b>
		Can't Judge	1.78	1	2.33%	<b>1.78</b>
	4. Behavior of the teacher	Pleasant	6.75	38	88.37%	<b>256.5</b>
		Indifferent	2.78	4	9.3%	<b>11.12</b>
		Unpleasant	1.28	1	2.33%	<b>1.28</b>
		Can't Judge	1.94	0	0%	<b>0</b>
	5. Sincerity of the teacher	Sincere	11.50	40	93.02%	<b>460</b>
		Not Sincere	1.33	1	2.33%	<b>1.33</b>
		Unable to Judge	5.06	2	4.65%	<b>10.12</b>
		Blank	4.03	0	0%	<b>0</b>
	6. Overall Teaching effectiveness	Excellent	11.25	22	51.16%	<b>247.5</b>
		Good	9.03	15	34.88%	<b>135.45</b>
		Fair	6.46	4	9.3%	<b>25.84</b>
		Poor	1.56	2	4.65%	<b>3.12</b>
		Can't Judge	2.03	0	0%	<b>0</b>

**Head of The Department****Strength and Weakness**

- Good presentation skills
- slideshow lecturing
- Not able to express the ideas to students
- good teacher

**Special Remarks**

- Makes own notes based on the subject to help the students
- Good subject knowledge
- good teacher



**Staff : DONA SEBASTIAN**

**Subject : HUT102 - PROFESSIONAL COMMUNICATION**

**Session Name: End Evaluation**

**Session Id : TE-SN365-Aug-2020**

**Users Completed : 44**

**Batch : BTech KTU ME 2K19**

**Survey Id : TE26-Jan-2020**

**Overall Score : 96.01**

Section	Question	Option	Mark	Users Opted	Opted %	Total Mark
1	1. Subject Knowledge	Excellent	17.75	34	77.27%	<b>603.5</b>
		Good	14.13	10	22.73%	<b>141.3</b>
		Fair	10.28	0	0%	<b>0</b>
		Poor	3.53	0	0%	<b>0</b>
		Can't Judge	8.00	0	0%	<b>0</b>
	2. Clarity and Understanding of teachers Explanations	Excellent	14.50	31	70.45%	<b>449.5</b>
		Good	11.81	13	29.55%	<b>153.53</b>
		Fair	8.11	0	0%	<b>0</b>
		Poor	2.01	0	0%	<b>0</b>
		Can't Judge	4.09	0	0%	<b>0</b>
	3. Teachers Willingness to Help	Excellent	7.50	35	79.55%	<b>262.5</b>
		Good	5.71	9	20.45%	<b>51.39</b>
		Fair	4.04	0	0%	<b>0</b>
		Poor	1.13	0	0%	<b>0</b>
		Can't Judge	2.21	0	0%	<b>0</b>
	4. Approximate % of classes NOT engaged by the teachers	Less than 10	5.85	38	86.36%	<b>222.3</b>
		10 to 25	3.66	2	4.55%	<b>7.32</b>
		More than 25	1.91	0	0%	<b>0</b>
		Can't Judge	1.64	4	9.09%	<b>6.56</b>
5. Whether the teachers dictates notes only	No	4.00	42	95.45%	<b>168</b>	
	Yes	0.67	1	2.27%	<b>0.67</b>	
	Can't Judge	1.65	1	2.27%	<b>1.65</b>	
2	1. Teachers ability to organize lecture	Excellent	9.25	36	81.82%	<b>333</b>
		Satisfactory	6.34	8	18.18%	<b>50.72</b>
		Inadequate	2.50	0	0%	<b>0</b>
		Can't Judge	3.16	0	0%	<b>0</b>
	2. Speed of presentation	Just Right	4.75	44	100%	<b>209</b>
		Too Fast	1.34	0	0%	<b>0</b>
		Can't Judge	1.40	0	0%	<b>0</b>
	3. Does the teacher encourage questioning	Yes	6.88	39	88.64%	<b>268.32</b>
		Some Times	3.89	5	11.36%	<b>19.45</b>
		No	0.74	0	0%	<b>0</b>
		Can't Judge	1.78	0	0%	<b>0</b>
	4. Behavior of the teacher	Pleasant	6.75	44	100%	<b>297</b>
		Indifferent	2.78	0	0%	<b>0</b>
		Unpleasant	1.28	0	0%	<b>0</b>
		Can't Judge	1.94	0	0%	<b>0</b>
	5. Sincerity of the teacher	Sincere	11.50	44	100%	<b>506</b>
		Not Sincere	1.33	0	0%	<b>0</b>
		Unable to Judge	5.06	0	0%	<b>0</b>
		Blank	4.03	0	0%	<b>0</b>
	6. Overall Teaching effectiveness	Excellent	11.25	34	77.27%	<b>382.5</b>
		Good	9.03	10	22.73%	<b>90.3</b>
		Fair	6.46	0	0%	<b>0</b>
		Poor	1.56	0	0%	<b>0</b>
		Can't Judge	2.03	0	0%	<b>0</b>

**Head of The Department****Strength and Weakness**

- nothing
- Very responsible
- good teacher

**Special Remarks**

- Well prepared for the class
- good personality
- dedicated
- subject knowledge
- Always help students to clear the doubts
- good teacher



**Staff : NARMADA RN**

**Subject : HUT102 - PROFESSIONAL COMMUNICATION**

**Session Name: End Evaluation**

**Session Id : TE-SN365-Aug-2020**

**Users Completed : 42**

**Batch : BTech KTU ME 2K19**

**Survey Id : TE26-Jan-2020**

**Overall Score : 94.99**

Section	Question	Option	Mark	Users Opted	Opted %	Total Mark
1	1. Subject Knowledge	Excellent	17.75	29	69.05%	<b>514.75</b>
		Good	14.13	13	30.95%	<b>183.69</b>
		Fair	10.28	0	0%	<b>0</b>
		Poor	3.53	0	0%	<b>0</b>
		Can't Judge	8.00	0	0%	<b>0</b>
	2. Clarity and Understanding of teachers Explanations	Excellent	14.50	27	64.29%	<b>391.5</b>
		Good	11.81	15	35.71%	<b>177.15</b>
		Fair	8.11	0	0%	<b>0</b>
		Poor	2.01	0	0%	<b>0</b>
		Can't Judge	4.09	0	0%	<b>0</b>
	3. Teachers Willingness to Help	Excellent	7.50	31	73.81%	<b>232.5</b>
		Good	5.71	11	26.19%	<b>62.81</b>
		Fair	4.04	0	0%	<b>0</b>
		Poor	1.13	0	0%	<b>0</b>
		Can't Judge	2.21	0	0%	<b>0</b>
	4. Approximate % of classes NOT engaged by the teachers	Less than 10	5.85	37	88.1%	<b>216.45</b>
		10 to 25	3.66	1	2.38%	<b>3.66</b>
		More than 25	1.91	0	0%	<b>0</b>
		Can't Judge	1.64	4	9.52%	<b>6.56</b>
	5. Whether the teachers dictates notes only	No	4.00	41	97.62%	<b>164</b>
Yes		0.67	0	0%	<b>0</b>	
Can't Judge		1.65	1	2.38%	<b>1.65</b>	
2	1. Teachers ability to organize lecture	Excellent	9.25	33	78.57%	<b>305.25</b>
		Satisfactory	6.34	9	21.43%	<b>57.06</b>
		Inadequate	2.50	0	0%	<b>0</b>
		Can't Judge	3.16	0	0%	<b>0</b>
	2. Speed of presentation	Just Right	4.75	42	100%	<b>199.5</b>
		Too Fast	1.34	0	0%	<b>0</b>
		Can't Judge	1.40	0	0%	<b>0</b>
	3. Does the teacher encourage questioning	Yes	6.88	35	83.33%	<b>240.8</b>
		Some Times	3.89	6	14.29%	<b>23.34</b>
		No	0.74	1	2.38%	<b>0.74</b>
		Can't Judge	1.78	0	0%	<b>0</b>
	4. Behavior of the teacher	Pleasant	6.75	42	100%	<b>283.5</b>
		Indifferent	2.78	0	0%	<b>0</b>
		Unpleasant	1.28	0	0%	<b>0</b>
		Can't Judge	1.94	0	0%	<b>0</b>
	5. Sincerity of the teacher	Sincere	11.50	41	97.62%	<b>471.5</b>
		Not Sincere	1.33	0	0%	<b>0</b>
		Unable to Judge	5.06	1	2.38%	<b>5.06</b>
		Blank	4.03	0	0%	<b>0</b>
	6. Overall Teaching effectiveness	Excellent	11.25	31	73.81%	<b>348.75</b>
		Good	9.03	11	26.19%	<b>99.33</b>
		Fair	6.46	0	0%	<b>0</b>
		Poor	1.56	0	0%	<b>0</b>
		Can't Judge	2.03	0	0%	<b>0</b>

## **Head of The Department**

### **Strength and Weakness**

- Good presentation and communication
- good lecturing
- Voice is loud and clear
- good teacher

### **Special Remarks**

- Good knowledge of subjects
- good knowledge
- good
- good teacher